

Summer 8-2016

Hands like starfish/Feet like moons: Disabled Women's Theatre Collectives

Victoria Lewis
University of Redlands

Victoria Lewis
University of Redlands

Follow this and additional works at: https://inspire.redlands.edu/oh_chapters



Part of the [Theatre and Performance Studies Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Lewis, Victoria and Lewis, Victoria, "Hands like starfish/Feet like moons: Disabled Women's Theatre Collectives" (2016). *Our House Book Chapters and Sections*. 49.
https://inspire.redlands.edu/oh_chapters/49

This material may be protected by copyright law (Title 17 U.S. Code).

This Chapter is brought to you for free and open access by the Our House at InSPIRe @ Redlands. It has been accepted for inclusion in Our House Book Chapters and Sections by an authorized administrator of InSPIRe @ Redlands. For more information, please contact inspire@redlands.edu.

Hands like starfish/Feet like moons: Disabled Women's Theatre Collectives

Victoria Lewis

[AU1] Beginning in the 1950s, triggered by the rejection of bodily variation on stage, a “democratizing impulse” moved through American theatre: a reconfiguration of who gets to be on stage and whose stories will be told.¹ That impulse is visible, among other places, in the migration of women from mixed-sex, alternative companies in the 1960s and 1970s to form women’s collectives.² This essay tracks a related movement: the appearance on the West Coast of three theatre companies of disabled women: Other Voices (Los Angeles, 1982); Wry Crips (Berkeley, 1985); and AXIS Dance Company (Oakland, 1987).

While the democratizing impulse might begin in a shared negative status, first steps involve reforming representation through collective process. As Carolyn Myers, an early member of Lilith, a Women’s Theater, tells it, the task was to find a different story, one that countered the dominant cultural message that women were naturally inferior and that powerful women were doomed to ostracism:

[AU2] We [women] had all been lied to [...] we were detached from understanding what was really going on. [...] We had to learn to trust ourselves and to trust

V. Lewis (✉)
University of Redlands, Redlands, CA, USA

22 each other [... and] to listen to other people tell true stories about their lives
 23 and have those moments of recognition and realization.³

24 Feminist theatre practice provided the template for disabled artists Peni
 25 Hall, Patty Overland, Judy Smith, Cheryl Marie Wade, and myself, as we
 26 made our journey to a disabled women's practice. We too had an "ah-ha"
 27 moment, recognizing that our identity has been "shaped by lies": nega-
 28 tive representations deeply embedded in public policy and institutions. We
 29 shared our experiences, pushed through the old stereotypes, and reimag-
 30 ined disability.

31 Many disability scholars propose an out-of-the-rib-of relationship
 32 between feminist theory and disability studies. Rosemarie Garland-
 33 Thomson paved the way in her groundbreaking *Extraordinary Bodies: Figuring Physical Disability in American Culture and Literature* (1997),
 34 insisting that "femininity and disability are inextricably entangled in patri-
 35 archal culture."⁴ Citing Aristotle's definition of a woman as a "deformed"
 36 or "mutilated" male, Garland-Thomson traced a persistent interweaving
 37 of femaleness and disability in Western culture, with "normal" defined
 38 as able-bodied, male and, over time, white. Some 16 years later, in her
 39 breakout text, *Feminist, Queer, Crip*, Alison Kafer asserted that "feminism
 40 has given me the theoretical tools to think critically about disability, the
 41 stigmatization of bodily variation, and various modes and strategies of
 42 resistance, dissent, and collective action."⁵

44 All three companies built upon and collaborated with organizations and
 45 individuals from the late twentieth-century women's performance scene,
 46 mirroring a feminist theatre practice characterized by critic Moira Roth as
 47 "related to women's personal experience, to women's collective past, and
 48 to exploring the strategies of specific feminist activism."⁶ Nonetheless, a
 49 separate, disabled-women-only practice emerged. Why?

50 In seeking an answer, I hope to locate disability within the democra-
 51 tizing impulse in the American theatre, and to denaturalize the cultural
 52 category of disability as a negative state and irredeemably "other." At
 53 the same time as the social construction of disability is exposed, the dis-
 54 tinct experience of disability becomes visible. Elements of that experience
 55 resist assimilation into current definitions of equality and universal rights.
 56 Moving between these two poles of social construction and irreducible
 57 difference, I aim to trouble liberal definitions of equality and justice that
 58 remain embedded in theatre collective practice, expanding the discourses
 59 that inhabit our theatrical landscape.

WHY A SEPARATE PRACTICE?

60

Before the passage of disability rights legislation beginning in 1977, disabled people never got past the cultural gatekeepers. Age-old perceptions of disability as a negative category, coupled with lack of access to cultural participation, training, or employment, virtually eliminated any significant disabled presence in American cultural life prior to the 1970s.⁷ Of the founding and early members of the companies discussed here, only three had previous training: Peni Hall (Wry Crips), Bonnie Lewkowicz (AXIS Dance), and myself (Other Voices). Lewkowicz and Hall had formal training in theatre and dance before they acquired their disabilities. I was denied professional training, but had learned my trade on the job in two theatre companies with alternative and feminist practices. The majority of women in these companies were never even applicants for membership in the performing arts. But they *were* susceptible to the democratizing impulse abroad in the land and intuited the potential of performance to rehabilitate the cultural category of disability.

On and off the stage, disability as metaphor has served liberal and conservative agendas alike. At first glance, conservatives appear to own the trope: the determined individual who fights her disability and achieves success against horrific odds becomes the poster child for bootstrap individualism. Yet the left cultural establishment seems as trapped by prevailing myths as the right. Hence, the abundance of pitiable disabled victims of war and capitalism, as well as what critic Una Chaudhuri pithily identifies as “pseudo-tragic” heroic suicide,⁸ in such dramas and films as Brian Clark’s *Whose Life Is It Anyway?* and Paul Haggis’s *Million Dollar Baby*.⁹ Since the mid-1970s, disabled activists, scholars, and artists have rejected the “medical model” of disability—the disabled person as sick and dependent, or as a heroic overcomer who is effectively “cured.” Today, disabled activists and artists situate disability in a discourse of socially constructed, historically defined class: the “social model,” a paradigm that provides insights into the personal *and* the political, making collective action possible.

Theatre and disability historians offer clues to the puzzling liberal resistance to an emancipatory concept of disability. Though this essay plunges *in medias res* to the moment when disabled women’s practice emerges alongside feminist theatre, a democratizing impulse in Western theatre reaches back to revolutionary France—the same period in which the revolutionary characterization of the aristocracy as “effeminated by nature,

98 born halt and blind”¹⁰ first appears. This trope will shape much radical
 99 theatre practice over the next two centuries, privileging masculine strength
 100 and autonomy. Disability historian Douglas Baynton has explored the
 101 role of disability tropes in the “great citizenship debates of the 19th and
 102 early 20th centuries: women’s suffrage, African American freedom and
 103 civil rights, and the restriction of immigration.”¹¹ As Baynton discovered,
 104 discrimination was routinely justified by identifying these three groups
 105 as disabled, and emancipation as disabling. Medical doctors asserted
 106 that “the need to submit to a master was built into the bodies of African
 107 Americans”: proof could be found in the “*genu flexit*,” a built-in predis-
 108 position of the knee of the African American to bend in submission.¹² As
 109 to emancipation, it had brought the African American “a beautiful harvest
 110 of mental and physical degeneration.”¹³ Similarly doctors insisted that the
 111 education of women caused reproductive organs to become “dwarfed,
 112 deformed, weakened, and diseased.”¹⁴ Immigrants from southern and
 113 eastern Europe were judged weak and defective, threatening to taint the
 114 Anglo-American gene pool.¹⁵ It is hardly surprising that disenfranchised
 115 groups, fighting for equality, put as much distance between themselves
 116 and the “halt, lame and blind” as possible. Shedding the stigmatizing
 117 cloak of disability, insisting on their virility, independence, and intactness,
 118 provided these disenfranchised others their ticket to first-class citizenship.

119 STIGMA MANAGEMENT: “A POOL OF SAFETY”

120 When I began the Other Voices project (1982–2002) in 1982 as a dis-
 121 abled women-only workshop, I was a veteran (1978–1981) of Lilith, a
 122 Women’s Theater. I borrowed physical theatre exercises and “personal
 123 is political” storytelling from Lilith’s playbook. The particularity of the
 124 stories told, the surprising reversals and recognitions that emerged from
 125 the lived experience of disabled women, resonated beyond the rehearsal
 126 room of the Mark Taper Forum, resulting in 1984 in a television special,
 127 *Tell Them I’m a Mermaid*, produced by liberal stalwart Norman Lear and
 128 introduced by activist/artist Jane Fonda.

129 Behind that success was a collective performance process that laid the
 130 ground for new narratives. Barbara Waxman attributed her ability to break
 131 through physical inhibitions to shared stigma: “Moving my arms and hands
 132 is *always* a risk for me. I’m so conspicuous. And so is everyone else [in this
 133 workshop].”¹⁶ The physical freedom of the group, and the riveting stories
 134 that followed, resulted from the suspension of “stigma management,” a

term introduced by sociologist Erving Goffman, in *Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity* (1963). In the experience of a disabled person, “stigma management” describes the social manipulations undertaken to be perceived as “normal.” As critic Garland-Thomson explains, this “minstrelsy,” the performance of normality, is the price of admission to mainstream society.

When burn survivor Barbara Kammara described the workshop as a “soft and safe place” which created “a wonderful freedom to play and yet be meaningful,”¹⁷ she is in part describing the effect of the suspension of stigma management. The same language appears in accounts of the collective practice of Wry Crips, poet/playwright Cheryl Marie Wade noting the “pool of safety that you can float in while you figure out what the hell it is you feel, even, what you want to express about the experience of disability.” Peni Hall characterized the Wry Crips collective process as: “a spigot comes out and you are able to talk and feel more,” adding, “for a lot of women that safety goes away when men are in the room.”¹⁸ Like other progressive movements of the time, the disability rights movement had its share of male domination and sexist bias (Fig. 19.1).

“Softness” and “safety” were not qualities associated with alternative theatre of the 1960s and 1970s. Socialist interpretations of “the people,” inherited by radical alternative companies, emphasized virile strength and physical courage. Eric Hobsbawm notes the “masculinization” of the iconography of the people in socialist movements manifest in the shift from early nineteenth-century bare-breasted images of *Liberté* to hard-muscled masculine forms.¹⁹ In Germany in the 1920s and 1930s the enormously popular Socialist workers’ choruses permitted women to join the classical mixed choruses, but excluded them from the political repertoire of progressive songs; women’s voices were considered too high and thin to express radical sentiments.²⁰

On the road to legitimization, many second-wave feminists privileged physical strength and financial and emotional independence. T-shirts imprinted with slogans like “a woman without a man is like a fish without a bicycle,” the appearance of female action heroines with extraordinary martial arts skills (“action babes”), and a fitness craze that promised women “buns of steel,”²¹ together signaled a cultural turn away from depictions of women as innately weak and dependent second-class citizens relegated to supporting roles in life and in art.

this figure will be printed in b/w



Fig. 19.1 Other Voices women's workshop, Berkeley, 1982: Sun Chen and Celeste White. Photo: Deborah Hoffmann.

172

INDEPENDENCE/INTERDEPENDENCE/DEPENDENCE:

173

THE STORIES

174 The terms independence, interdependence, and dependence have played
175 a critical role in the development of the Disability Rights Movement, so
176 much so that the movement is synonymous in both legal and grassroots
177 discourses with the "Independent Living Movement." The first activist

pioneers, aware of the perils of being perceived as dependent, repurposed the term “independence” to mean not autonomy but agency and signaled a revolt against the hierarchical control of the medical establishment and the isolation of an inaccessible public environment.

This concept of independence as agency not autonomy is clear in stories told in *Other Voices*. Instead of the “I will walk again!” miraculous-cure scenarios of popular media, many women with limited upper-body strength spoke of the liberation of replacing their manual wheelchairs with a power wheelchair. Now they could move on their own volition. In this group, the wheelchair was not a symbol of dependence but of independence.

Such stories, disabled artists insisted, could only come from within the disabled experience. Actress Italia Dito’s story is a case in point. Dito contracted polio at two in Ecuador. When the family migrated to the States, Italia was placed in a hospital where she remained from the age of two until age 12. According to Dito, her non-English-speaking parents “kept signing release papers for operations with no idea of what was being done to me.” By the time Dito was eight, she spoke English and

started asking a lot of questions about all my surgeries. I saw the doctors really didn’t know what they were doing. They were just practicing new procedures on me. Yes, I wanted to walk, I wanted to be a quote “normal” person. [...] When I woke up from [... the last] surgery, my father was standing beside me and I told him, “Poppi, no more surgeries, please. I’m not going to walk, but it’s OK. I just want a life outside these hospital walls and I’ll make it the best life I can.”²²

Dito’s story is new. Cure and overcoming, the standard dramatic climaxes for stories about disability, are sources of danger in this story. The heroine, a 12-year-old girl, sees what no one else around her can: that independence is about agency not autonomy and that racist and classist practices can hide behind the facade presented by advanced medical science.

Historian of the welfare state Deborah Stone observed, “Two centuries of myth-making about rugged individualism will not yield easily to the painful fact that dependence is the human condition.”²³ Disability dramaturgy, one can argue, makes visible “our culture’s excessive emphasis on independence.”²⁴ Recently, disabled scholars and activists have gravitated toward the term “interdependence” in place of “independence” to more accurately describe the lived experience not of disabled people but of all

215 humans, and the danger a definition of equality based on autonomy and
 216 strength poses to the collective good.

217 WRY CRIPS: THE BODY AND SEXUALITY

218 (to the tune of the 1958 Chordettes' song "Lollipop")
 219 Body Parts, body parts
 220 Ooh, body, body parts (X2)
 221 I've got hands like starfish/I've got feet like moons
 222 I've got ears like itty bitty roses in bloom.²⁵

223 Cheryl Marie Wade's celebratory parody of the pop song "Lollipop"
 224 emerged from her artistic coming-of-age in the disabled-women-only Wry
 225 Crips. Wade, the "It Girl" of disability culture in the 1980s and 1990s,
 226 whose *Sassy Girl* solo show earned her an NEA solo artist grant, didn't
 227 come to the company *sassy*. To the contrary: "No matter how political I
 228 was, I wasn't okay about my body." Wry Crips was the turning point in
 229 Wade's development as a performer and writer:

230 What was fabulous about [Wry Crips] was the feeling of being free to have a
 231 voice as a crippled woman. [...] I had no thoughts of saying anything about
 232 it until I joined them. [...] I found my voice in Wry Crips.²⁶

[AU3]

233 Founders Patty Overland, Laura Rifkin, and Judith Smith, who came
 234 together in Oakland in 1985, met playing wheelchair sports. Overland
 235 attributes the founding of the collective to a romance. "I was seeing an
 236 actor from Mothertongue [Feminist Collective]," she recalled, "I wanted
 237 to be wherever she was." When her partner took her to a performance of
 238 the Fat Lip Collective (against fat oppression), Overland got the idea for
 239 Wry Crips.²⁷

240 Like their progenitor, Mothertongue, Wry Crips rejected all hierarchy.
 241 There were no auditions, no separate titles for director/writer/actor.²⁸
 242 If you wanted to perform, you were in. They included all types of dis-
 243 abilities and experiences and believed in the power of the revelation of
 244 personal truths in a disabled women's community.²⁹ Everyone created
 245 material—poetry, monologues, and sketches—and everyone performed.
 246 Peni Hall, who provided dramaturgical and administrative leadership in
 247 the early years, describes a casting and script-editing process that ensured

“everybody got ‘shine time,’ a chance to stand out and look good.”³⁰ The privileging of “better” readers was frowned on. No one was to be “left in the corner.”

Wry Crips, like many collectives of the day, had a laborious, sometimes contentious company process, parsing ideology. But there was one issue, according to Patty Overland, that everyone agreed on: presenting themselves as sexual beings. “Straight and gay, we wanted to get across the idea we were celebratory about our sexuality because people are [always saying] ‘those people, they’re sexual?’”³¹

Literary critic Lennard Davis argues that disabled people are viewed as “de-eroticized.”³² Early statistical evidence reinforced Davis’s contention with particular consequences for women.³³ Disabled women were much less likely to be married and more likely to be divorced than nondisabled women. Married disabled women were more likely than married disabled men to have been divorced or separated at the onset of disability. Disabled women were denied the very roles nondisabled women at the time were so anxious to shed: mother and wife. Lack of access to women’s traditional roles led some disabled feminists to describe themselves as “social nomads,” with no place in society to call their own.³⁴

Wry Crips became known for its celebration of sexuality and the disabled woman’s body. Probably no one performer was more responsible for that direction than Cheryl Marie Wade. Wade had juvenile rheumatoid arthritis, a progressive condition. Her hands caused her particular distress. She remembered: “for years I would always carry a shawl or big purse that I could slide my hands under so that people wouldn’t be uncomfortable and I would not feel humiliated by people staring.”³⁵

When Wade began performing with the company, she covered herself from neck to toe in oversized, form-hiding shirts. Her voice was always there—mesmerizing and musical, at once a soft seductive rasp and a mocking challenge. But as her performing confidence grew, “the layers came off.” She donned skirts so she could flip them up as she voiced her lover’s observation that “the scars on your knee come together like lips, smiling.” The scars were real; so was the muted can-can. In a love poem, “Deformity Tuxedo,” two new lovers, drinking wine, talking of Kierkegaard and watching the cat’s tail move, wait for night to fall. The poem concludes:

When the sky turns midnight blue
And you swear and I believe

286 My curled paws
287 are sequined slivers of the moon.³⁶

288 Nonetheless, Wade took issue with one strand of the disability political
289 stance: that if societal discrimination and prejudice were removed, the dis-
290 abled person would be an equal participant in public life. For Wade such a
291 position denied the “body’s power” to determine what we can and cannot
292 do in our lives. Pain was denied because “it doesn’t fit with the image we
293 are trying to present, which is that we are powerful.”³⁷ She concludes:
294 “You can be powerful and broken. You can feel strong and have extraordi-
295 nary pain and suffering.”³⁸ Claiming difference, not equality, Wade’s body
296 of work suggests, distinguishes art from politics.

297 AXIS DANCE COMPANY AND THE BODY DIFFERENT

298 *Biggest contradiction for me was that we were saying that [the cast of*
299 *“P.H. *reaks”] was a group and yet everyone was so completely different,*
300 *more diversified than any other group [I had worked with].³⁹*

301 —choreographer Naomi Goldberg

302 *P.H. *reaks: The Hidden History of People with Disabilities,*
303 *Other Voices, New Works Festival, Mark Taper Forum, 1994*

304 At the age of nine, Naomi Goldberg was measured and found to have
305 the right kind of body for Balanchine Dance, “the specific size of head,
306 length of leg, arch of foot, weight of body.”⁴⁰ An ankle injury separated
307 her from the world of classical dance, pushing her toward an involvement
308 with Contact Improvisation and non-traditional students. Even so, the
309 eight member cast of *P.H.*reaks* marked a new chapter for Goldberg.

310 The difficulty of representing a single voice has been an ongoing chal-
311 lenge for disability dramaturgy. Cheryl Marie Wade had a showdown with
312 another Wry Crips member over Wade’s poem “Sassy Girl.” Modeled
313 on a jump-rope rhyme and inspired by the bold disabled women on the
314 streets of Berkeley, the poem celebrates “Clubfoot Annie wearing bright
315 red shoes,” and “Blind Old Wilma reading a novel a day.” Eight women
316 populate the poem—eight different impairments. But a company member
317 refused to perform the poem because it did not cover *all* disabilities.

318 Over its long history, AXIS Dance Company—one of several groups
319 that emerged in the late 1980s fostering the integration of disabled and

nondisabled dancers⁴¹—has grappled with key contradictions in disabled women's collective creation: nondisabled vs. disabled leadership, amateurism vs. professionalism, serving the community vs. serving one's art. But at its base, AXIS was/is about displaying bodies on stage that do not conform to the "ideal" body of classical dance, bodies that were previously considered taboo, fit only for the freak show and the medical display. So severe is this taboo that in 1994 *New Yorker* dance critic Arlene Croce refused to attend Bill T. Jones's "Still Here," which incorporated people with terminal illnesses, calling it "victim art."⁴² If Croce's article was intended to deter further displays of the unfit body on the concert stage, it failed. In response, dance impresario Jeremy Alliger began a worldwide search for integrated companies and in 1997 produced the first International Festival of Wheelchair Dance in Boston. Companies came from around the world to tell each other their stories—in conversation and in movement. Alliger asked Judy Smith of AXIS to co-curate the festival, and in Boston Smith began her move away from community-based art and toward the competitive world of professional dance.

[AU5] When Judy Smith, Thais Mazur, and Bonnie Lewkowicz took on the leadership of AXIS (1987),⁴³ they were indistinguishable from any other number of community arts startups in the rich alternative Bay area scene. Smith and Mazur met at the Hand to Hand Kajukenbo martial arts school for women. When Mazur, a nondisabled occupational therapist with a dance background, invited Smith to create a dance piece, Smith "knew nothing about dance. Nothing."⁴⁴ But before her injury in a car accident during her senior year of high school, Smith had counted on a career as a competitive equestrian:

I was so intrigued by it [dance]. [For] one thing, it was a discipline [...] I loved that aspect of it, having—in the same way that I had with horses—an extreme amount of self-discipline.⁴⁵

AXIS, like *Other Voices* and *Wry Crips*, had an open-door policy in the early years: if you were willing to do the work, you were welcome. Excluded from mainstream performance culture because of their stigmatized bodies, talented artists found a home with AXIS. So when Smith returned to Oakland from Boston, her proposal to commission professional (read "nondisabled") choreographers was not met with universal enthusiasm. Co-founder Thais Mazur for one objected. But Mazur's position as a nondisabled company member was itself contradictory. After ten

357 years Mazur and her male partner were still running the dance workshops
 358 for the disability community, still assuming the position of expertise, still
 359 insisting that the work function as an educational tool to foster disability
 360 awareness.

361 Smith won the battle for company control, and collaborating with
 362 world-class choreographers such as Sonya Delwaide, Joe Goode, Margaret
 363 Jenkins, Stephen Petronio, Victoria Marks, and Bill T. Jones, the company
 364 defied the nay-sayers who rejected the idea of integrated dance.⁴⁶

365 According to Smith, the collective process in the 2014 rehearsal room
 366 hasn't changed much since the days of Thai Mazur's direction. The bodily
 367 differences are profound, even between Smith and co-founder Bonnie
 368 Lewkowicz. Smith explains: "We move in completely different ways—we
 369 are not interchangeable."⁴⁷ The weight and height of the nondisabled
 370 dancers figure into the complex and athletic partnering, as does the type
 371 of chair. Everybody—including world-class choreographers—is figuring
 372 out what can be done together (Fig. 19.2).

this figure will be printed in b/w



Fig. 19.2 Judith Smith and Janet Das in AXIS Dance Company's *Foregone*, choreographed by Kate Weare. Photo: Andrea Basile.

Bill T. Jones, who choreographed Schubert's Fantasy in C for AXIS in 2000, reflected on that experience and his attempt "to find a shared movement vocabulary."⁴⁸ As a choreographer who uses unison movement, he "was struck by the effort synchronicity between performers of diverse ability demands. Here everyone's arms, torso and legs obey different laws or none at all." Jones struggled to bring "rapid locomotion (running, walking, skipping) into musical relationship—with wheelchairs, manual and/or electric." For Jones, these differences were aesthetic possibilities not political stumbling blocks.⁴⁹

As Bill T. Jones explains, in an AXIS performance "each body can be described as a text," and the "constellations" that those bodies create together, the narrative. Within AXIS's jumble of bodies and steel are new inventions, new realities, new myths. Some critics have recognized this revolution. In his review of AXIS, *New York Times* critic Bruce Weber advised his readers that:

Sympathy is irrelevant. Forget what isn't here, and pay attention to what is. Recognize the chairs for what they are and not as substitutes for what they are not. See that? [...] A chair on its side, a wheel spinning in the air with a dancer laying across it, rotating slowly and elegantly, a lovely moment impossible without the chair.⁵⁰

The AXIS landscape with its diverse citizenry is mapped on coordinates of separateness and equality. Impaired bodies are not subsumed into some universalizing heaven, where limbs are restored and wheelchair users can run through fields. The work instead is calibrated to acknowledge and exploit the most minute of physical differences in the company members. The mobility aids used by the "damaged" bodies are integrated into virtuosic movement. Equality is found not in standardization and a guaranteed individual autonomy but in a web of interdependence. Several decades in the making, the integrated dance theatre of AXIS offers a provocative example of the artistic power to be found balancing between the poles of equality and difference.

CONCLUSION

Collective creation has been an indispensable tool in democratizing the American stage and the rewards of that process aesthetic as well as civic. And, as we have seen, the body itself is often the rallying point for theatrical

408 reform. Despite the ingrained prejudices in our training and employment
 409 practices, those on the margins continue to find openings in theatrical
 410 practice for new incarnations of the human.

411 But I do not mean to minimize damage caused by the long-held nega-
 412 tive assumptions about the disabled figure that continue to haunt our
 413 rehearsal rooms and stages. As these brief case studies hopefully make
 414 clear, membership in the worlds of theatre and dance (conservative or
 415 liberal, feminist or mixed) is controlled by an unexamined standard of
 416 equality built on the intact body. The achievements chronicled here raise
 417 a question for the field: How much might we gain by paying attention to
 418 difference, by de-stigmatizing dependence and weakness?

419 The larger moral lesson is one that theatre artists rehearse every day:
 420 absolute independence is impossible. As some evolutionary biologists
 421 posit, the key to our success as a species was not “survival of the fittest,”
 422 but our coming together in our mutual dependence to make community.

NOTES

423

- 424 1. See Megan Terry interviewed in Kathleen Betsko and Rachel Koenig,
 425 *Interviews with Contemporary Women Playwrights* (New York: Beach Tree
 426 Books, 1987).
- 427 2. See Victoria Lewis, “From Mao to the Feeling Circle,” in *A History of*
 428 *Collective Creation*, ed. Kathryn Mederos Syssoyeva and Scott Proudfit
 429 (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2013) and Charlotte Canning, *Feminist*
 430 *Theaters in the U.S.A.: Staging Women’s Experience* (London: Routledge,
 431 1995).
- 432 3. Carolyn Myers, interview with author, Ashland, Oregon, 2000.
- 433 4. Rosemarie Garland Thomson, *Extraordinary Bodies: Figuring Physical*
 434 *Disability in American Culture and Literature* (New York: Columbia
 435 University Press, 1997), 27.
- 436 5. Alison Kafer, *Feminist, Queer, Crip* (Bloomington: Indiana University
 437 Press, 2013), 14.
- 438 6. Mora Roth, quoted in Marvin Carlson, *Performance: A Critical*
 439 *Introduction* (London: Routledge, 1996), 149.
- 440 7. See my essay “Disability and Access: A Manifesto for Actor Training,” in
 441 *The Politics of American Actor Training*, ed. Ellen Margolis and Lissa
 442 Renaud (London: Routledge, 2009).
- 443 8. Una Chaudhuri, *Staging Place: The Geography of Modern Drama* (Ann
 444 Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1997), 62.

9. See Paul K. Longmore, "Screening Stereotypes: Images of Disabled People," *Social Policy*, 16 (Summer 1985), 31–38. See also David Mitchell and Sharon Snyder, *Narrative Prosthesis: Disability and the Dependencies of Discourse* (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2001).
10. Ralph Waldo Emerson, "Self-Reliance," quoted in Garland Thomson's *Extraordinary Bodies*, 38–41.
11. Douglas Baynton, "Disability and the Justification of Inequality in American History," in *The New Disability History: American Perspectives*, ed. Paul K. Longmore and Lauri Umansky (New York: New York University Press, 1991), 33.
12. *Ibid.*, 38.
13. *Ibid.*, 33.
14. Quoted in Baynton, "Disability," 42.
15. *Ibid.*, 46–50.
16. Barbara Waxman, entry dated January 18, 1983, *Puzzles and Solutions Journal*, 1982–83.
17. Barbara Kammara, entry dated April 7, 1987, *Puzzles and Solutions Journal*, Spring/Summer 1987.
18. Peni Hall, interview with the author, January 27, 2014.
19. Eric Hobsbawm, "Man and Women in Socialist Iconography," *History Workshop: A Journal of Socialist Historians*, 6 (Autumn 1978), 124.
20. Richard Bodek, *Proletarian Performance in Weimar Berlin: Agitprop, Chorus, and Brecht* (London: Camden House, 1997), 58.
21. Susan J. Douglas, *Where the Girls Are: Growing up Female with the Mass Media* (New York: Three Rivers Press, 1995), 259.
22. From *Tell Them I'm a Mermaid*. Embassy Television, Taper Media, Metromedia Television, 1984.
23. Deborah Stone, "Why We Need a Care Movement," *The Nation* (March 13, 2000), 13.
24. Barbara Hillyer, *Feminism and Disability* (Norman: University of Oklahoma Press, 1993), 194.
25. Lyrics by Cheryl Marie Wade to the tune of the 1958 Chordettes' song "Lollipop."
26. Wade, 112.
27. Patty Overland, interview with author, January 7, 2014.
28. Kate Brandt, "'What a revelation ...': Ten Years of Mother-tongue Readers' Theater," *Hot Wire* (July 1986).
29. For an overview of Wry Crips, see Pamela Walker, *Moving Over the Edge: Artists with Disabilities Take the Leap* (Davis, Calif.: MH Media, 2005).
30. Hall, interview.
31. Overland, interview.

- 486 32. Lennard Davis, *Enforcing Normalcy: Disability, Deafness, and the Body*
487 (London: Verso, 1995), 128.
- 488 33. Marian Blackwell-Stratton *et al.*, “Smashing Icons: Disabled Women and
489 the Disability and Women’s Movements,” in *Women with Disabilities:*
490 *Essays in Psychology, Culture and Politics*, ed. Michelle Fine and Adrienne
491 Asch (Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1988), 307.
- 492 34. *Ibid.*, 307.
- 493 35. Wade, 113.
- 494 36. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-q68N_h-6zs
- 495 37. Wade, 131.
- 496 38. *Ibid.*
- 497 39. Naomi Goldberg, Interview with author, April 2, 2000.
- 498 40. *Ibid.*
- 499 41. See Ann Cooper Albright, *Choreographing Difference: The Body and*
500 *Identity in Contemporary Dance* (Middletown, Conn.: Wesleyan University
501 Press, 1997).
- 502 42. Arlene Croce, “Discussing the Undiscussable,” *New Yorker* (December 26,
503 1994/January 22, 1995).
- 504 43. Corbett O’Toole and Patty Overland along with Mazur began the work.
505 The early struggles over disabled leadership are covered in O’Toole’s
506 *Fading Scars: My Queer Disability History* (Autonomous Press, 2015).
- 507 44. Judith Smith, “Artistic Director, AXIS Dance Company,” conducted by
508 Esther Ehrlich in 2005, Regional Oral History Project, The Bancroft
509 Library, University of California, Berkeley, 2006, 34.
- 510 45. *Ibid.*, 36.
- 511 46. Alan Ulrich, “Review: Axis Dance Company at Malonga Casquelord,” *San*
512 *Francisco Chronicle* (November 1, 2009), E-1.
- 513 47. Smith, interview with author, January 7, 2014.
- 514 48. Bill T. Jones, “The Body: A Gateway and Two Doors.” Lecture given at
515 Princeton University, April 12, 2001.
- 516 49. *Ibid.*
- 517 50. Bruce Weber, “A Dance Company Mixes Arms, Legs and Wheels,” *New*
518 *York Times*, November 1, 2009 (New York edition), A37B.

Author Queries

Chapter No.: 19 0002725190

Queries	Details Required	Author's Response
AU1	This is the abstract. It does not form part of the chapter.	
AU2	AU: Please ensure that your cuts are within square brackets [...]; original ellipses as ... I have guessed that these are your cuts.	
AU3	AU: Please provide the full reference in the note, and short ref (author, short title, page) in notes 35 and 37.	
AU5	AU: See note - this seems to be pub. by Autonomous Press not Anonymous Press. Please provide place.	